

IP POLICY, LEGAL AND REGULATORY ASPECTS OF INNOVATION BASED GROWTH

SIMPHIWE NCWANA 01 March 2010



Contents

- 1. BACKGROUND
- 2. POLICY FORMULATION
- 3. IMPLEMANTION OF NATIONAL IP POLICY
- 4. STRATEGIES ON IMPLEMATION
- 5. LEVERAGING IP TO PROMOTE INNOVATION
- **6.ACHIEVING DEVELOPMENTAL OBJECTIVE**
- 7.CONCLUSION



Background

- Intellectual Property (IP) forms part of innovation but innovation is broader than the IP regime.
- Both public and private sectors are somehow involved in "IP – Innovation" in areas such as Agriculture, Biotechnology, Health, Climate Change, Technology, etc.
- Major forms of IP are Patents, Designs, Trade Marks and Copyrights.
- Patents form the bulk of technology, but that should not be confused with innovation.
- It is difficult to drive "IP-innovation" without national policy and strategies

3



Discussion

"IP-INNOVATION" POLICIES

- It is agreed that IP is heavily influenced by internal norms such as those of the WTO/WIPO. However it is possible to craft national policies informed by these international approaches.
- The Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) allows national policy framework to deal with technology, health, agriculture .climate change, etc. National imperatives are recognized under this dispensation.



Discussion

- TRIPS articles 6, 7, 8 30/31 and 66.2 can assist countries to formulate national IP innovation policies.
- Member states are given discretion to deal with innovation, addressing national needs, e.g. compulsory licensing, technology, health and competition issues (Articles 40+ 8(2))
- Member states should be cautious not to renounce the above guidance from TRIPS by signing bilateral trade agreements that may demand that the "discretionary powers" in policy making are negated.

5



Discussion

- Experience has shown that the mandatory duty imposed on developed member states of the WTO under TRIPS have done little, if any, in transferring technology to LDC's - Article 66.2
- WIPO Development Agenda features also dictate how member states should couch issues of innovation, taking into account development issues such as technology, competition, SMME's and IP.
- Member states should start to implement these broad objectives from the perspective of IP innovation .
- However it seems as if proper "reconciliation" will not be possible between the WIPO Development Agenda features and the Roadmap on the Patent harmonization.



Discussion

- In passing, if the "Roadmap" wins its day, discretionary powers for member states to formulate IP innovation talking to national issues may not be possible.
- Guidelines /regulations for "IP-innovation", e.g. on technology transfer must be developed both in public/private sectors. In this regard South African passed a legislation on Publicly Financed Research and Development in order to give guidance on IP innovation in the public/private partnerships. This may be equated to the Bayh-Dole Act of the United States.
- "IP-innovation" should also be encouraged in cultural industries and indigenous technologies.

7



Implementation

- The above sets a tone and it can be done within the framework of "IP- innovation".
- "IP-innovation" legislation and strategies need to be in place.
- Awareness and education on the policy, legislation and strategies should be developed and implemented.

STRATEGIES ON IMPLEMENTATION

- IP innovation legislation should be in place.
- Stakeholder buy-in should be addressed
- National policy imperatives should be harmonised with IP innovation policies without contradicting international obligations
- Awareness and education should be addressed
- Capacity building (Human resources, finance, time, institutions, training)



Achieving Developmental Objectives

 TRIPS/WIPO approaches as discussed above may make the countries to achieve national developmental objectives. However, if the advices given above are not followed it would be difficult to achieve national developmental objectives.

CHALLENGES

- · Lack of policies, legislation, regulations
- Lack of awareness and education programmes
- Capacity constraints
- Lack of Co-operation between member states and the private sector

9



Conclusion

 There is a need to develop IP- Innovation that should satisfy all member states of WIPO – This is a balancing act



END

THANK YOU

11