



SCP/31/5 SUMMARY  
ORIGINAL: INGLÉS  
FECHA: 6 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 2019

## Comité Permanente sobre el Derecho de Patentes

**Trigésima primera sesión**  
**Ginebra, 2 a 5 de diciembre de 2019**

### RESUMEN DEL DOCUMENTO SCP/31/5: RESEÑA DE LAS INVESTIGACIONES EXISTENTES SOBRE LAS PATENTES Y EL ACCESO A LOS PRODUCTOS MÉDICOS Y A LAS TECNOLOGÍAS DE LA SALUD

*Documento preparado por la Secretaría*

1. El Comité Permanente sobre el Derecho de Patentes (SCP), en sus sesiones vigesimonovena y trigésima, celebradas en Ginebra del 3 al 6 de diciembre de 2018 y del 24 al 27 de junio de 2019, respectivamente, acordó que la Secretaría presentara, en la trigésima primera sesión del SCP, una reseña de las investigaciones existentes sobre las patentes y el acceso a los productos médicos y a las tecnologías de la salud, como se señala en el documento SCP/28/9 Rev. (véanse el párrafo 22 del documento SCP/29/7 y el párrafo 23 del documento SCP/30/10). El documento SCP/28/9 Rev. es una propuesta de las delegaciones de la Argentina, el Brasil, el Canadá y Suiza para que se efectúe esa reseña y el Comité la examine en relación con el punto del orden del día “Las patentes y la salud”.
2. De conformidad con las decisiones anteriores del SCP, la Secretaría preparó dicha reseña, que figura en el documento SCP/31/5, titulado “Reseña de las investigaciones existentes sobre las patentes y el acceso a los productos médicos y a las tecnologías de la salud”, y la presentó al Comité para que la examinara en su trigésima primera sesión, que se celebrará en Ginebra del 2 al 5 de diciembre de 2019.
3. En vista del volumen del documento SCP/31/5, el presente documento constituye un resumen de dicho documento.
4. Conforme a las decisiones anteriores del SCP, la reseña se efectuó con arreglo a la propuesta que figura en el documento SCP/28/9 Rev. En concreto, fue llevada a cabo por la Secretaría, tras haber consultado las secretarías de la OMS y la OMC, y comprendió estudios preparados por esas organizaciones y otras organizaciones intergubernamentales (OIG)

pertinentes. En la reseña también se incluyen estudios de investigadores externos encargados por esas organizaciones, así como investigaciones académicas revisadas por expertos.

5. Conforme a lo dispuesto por el Comité, para elaborar la reseña, la Secretaría se centró en los estudios sobre los siguientes temas:

- La relación entre las patentes y otras cuestiones conexas y la asequibilidad y disponibilidad de los productos médicos y las tecnologías de la salud<sup>1</sup>;
- La función del sistema de patentes, incluidos los mecanismos relativos a la calidad de las patentes, en lo que respecta a incentivar y fomentar el desarrollo de nuevos medicamentos y tecnologías de la salud para hacer frente a la incidencia de la morbilidad mundial, a facilitar el acceso a los productos médicos y las tecnologías de la salud, y a velar por el suministro de productos de calidad;
- La función del sistema de propiedad intelectual en lo que respecta a fomentar el trasvase de conocimientos y la transferencia de tecnología en el sector de los productos médicos y las tecnologías de la salud;
- La función de los mecanismos de concesión de licencias obligatorias y voluntarias y de los consorcios de patentes a la hora de facilitar la asequibilidad y la disponibilidad de productos médicos y tecnologías de la salud; y
- La disponibilidad de los medicamentos esenciales en los países en que esos medicamentos no están sujetos a derechos de patente, tomando en consideración los diversos factores relacionados con la oferta y la demanda que influyen en la disponibilidad y la asequibilidad.

6. La reseña comprende las obras publicadas entre 2005 y 2018. Cada estudio ha sido resumido para proporcionar, en aproximadamente media página, una sinopsis objetiva del análisis, las principales conclusiones y las recomendaciones formuladas por los autores. La lista de estudios incluida en la reseña figura en el Anexo del presente documento.

7. Con respecto a las obras producidas por las OIG, además de las de la OMPI, la OMS, la OMC y las obras de investigadores externos encargadas por esas organizaciones, la búsqueda se llevó a cabo en publicaciones de la Unión Europea, la UNCTAD, el ONUSIDA, la OCDE, el PNUD, el ICTSD y el Centro del Sur, entre otras organizaciones.

8. La búsqueda de literatura académica se llevó a cabo en más de 80 revistas examinadas por expertos, teniendo en cuenta la pertinencia de los campos respectivos para los temas incluidos en el mandato. Cabe señalar que, si bien la reseña incluye todos los estudios académicos revisados por expertos que se han encontrado sobre los temas mencionados anteriormente, la Secretaría no ha evaluado la calidad del contenido de los estudios. Además, con arreglo a lo dispuesto por el SCP, la reseña no incluye publicaciones como documentos de trabajo, proyectos, blogs, comentarios ni artículos de opinión que no se consideren investigaciones académicas revisadas por expertos.

9. En relación con cada uno de los temas mencionados en el mandato, se ha encontrado un número diferente de estudios. La mayor parte de la literatura económica y jurídica detectada es pertinente para los siguientes temas: i) la función del sistema de patentes en lo que respecta a incentivar y fomentar el desarrollo de nuevos medicamentos y tecnologías de la salud para hacer frente a la incidencia de la morbilidad mundial; ii) la función del sistema de patentes en lo

<sup>1</sup> A los fines de la presente reseña, por “productos médicos y tecnologías de la salud” se entienden medicamentos, vacunas, diagnósticos y dispositivos médicos.

que respecta a fomentar el trasvase de conocimientos y la transferencia de tecnología en el sector de los productos médicos y las tecnologías de la salud; iii) la relación entre las patentes y la asequibilidad y disponibilidad de los productos médicos y las tecnologías de la salud; y iv) la función de los mecanismos de concesión de licencias obligatorias a la hora de facilitar la asequibilidad y la disponibilidad de productos médicos y tecnologías de la salud. Se han encontrado menos publicaciones en relación con el tema de la disponibilidad de los medicamentos esenciales en los países en que esos medicamentos no están sujetos a derechos de patente, tomando en consideración los diversos factores relacionados con la oferta y la demanda que influyen en la disponibilidad y la asequibilidad. Ello obedece a la falta de investigaciones publicadas sobre este tema tanto en los ámbitos económico y jurídico como en otras esferas.

[Sigue el Anexo]

Lista de estudios incluidos en la reseña de las investigaciones existentes sobre las patentes y el acceso a los productos médicos y a las tecnologías de la salud:

1. Word Intellectual Property Organization (2015), Chapter 2: Historical Breakthrough Innovations - Antibiotics, World Intellectual Property Report, WIPO, Chapter 2.
2. Life Sciences Program, WIPO (2007), Patent Issues Related to Influenza Viruses and Their Genes: An overview. Working Paper Commissioned by World Health Organization. Available at: [https://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/policy/en/global\\_health/pdf/influenza.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/policy/en/global_health/pdf/influenza.pdf).
3. World Health Organization (2011), Increasing Access to Diagnostics Through Technology Transfer and Local Production. Available at:  
[https://www.who.int/phi/publications/Increasing\\_Access\\_to\\_Diagnostics\\_Through\\_Technology\\_Transfer.pdf](https://www.who.int/phi/publications/Increasing_Access_to_Diagnostics_Through_Technology_Transfer.pdf).
4. World Health Organization (2014), Access to Affordable Medicines for HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis: The Intellectual Property Rights Context. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (SEA-TRH-16). Available at:  
<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/204741/B5144.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>.
5. World Health Organization (2005), Access to Medicines and Vaccines: Implication of Intellectual Property Protection and Trade Agreements. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (SEA/HMM/Meet.23/5). Available at:  
<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/127615/WP%205%20-%20Access%20to%20Medicines%20and%20Vaccines.pdf?sequence=1>.
6. World Health Organization (2017), Country Experiences in Using TRIPS Safeguards: Part I. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (UHC Technical Brief). Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272977/Country-experiences-TRIPS-Part1.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>.
7. World Health Organization (2017), Country Experiences in Using TRIPS Safeguards: Part II. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (UHC Technical Brief). Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272978/Country-experiences-TRIPS-Part2.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>.
8. World Health Organization (2005), WHO Drug Information, Vol. 19, No. 3. World Health Organization. Available at: <https://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/pdf/s7918e/s7918e.pdf>.
9. World Health Organization (2010), Intellectual Property and Access to Medicines: Papers and Perspectives. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (SEA-TRH-010). Available at: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s17521en/s17521en.pdf>.
10. World Health Organization (2009), International Trade and Health: A Reference Guide. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (ISBN 978-92-9022-336-8). Available at: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s19126en/s19126en.pdf>.
11. World Health Organization (2018), Progress Report on Access to Hepatitis C Treatment Focus on Overcoming Barriers in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. World Health Organization, Geneva (WHO/CDS/HIV/18.4). Available at:  
<http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s23381en/s23381en.pdf>.

12. World Health Organization (2017), Public Health Protection in Patent Laws: Selected Provisions, World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (UHC Technical Brief). Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272976/Public-health-protection.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>.
13. World Health Organization (2006), TRIPS, Intellectual Property Rights and Access to Medicines, World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia (Briefing Note). Available at: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s21415en/s21415en.pdf>.
14. World Health Organization and Health Action International (2008), Measuring Medicine Prices, Availability, Affordability and Price Components (2nd edition), World Health Organization (WHO/PSM/PAR/2008.3). Available at:  
[https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/70013/WHO\\_PSM\\_PAR\\_2008.3\\_eng.pdf?sequence=1](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/70013/WHO_PSM_PAR_2008.3_eng.pdf?sequence=1).
15. World Health Organization, World Intellectual Property Organization and World Trade Organization (2012), Promoting Access to Medical Technologies and Innovation: Intersections between Public Health, Intellectual Property and Trade. World Health Organization, Geneva (WHO ISBN 978-92-415-0487-4). Available at:  
[http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/78069/9789241504874\\_eng;jsessionid=6AE6CCCD0F34B919C2AFD9DEA4990185?sequence=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/78069/9789241504874_eng;jsessionid=6AE6CCCD0F34B919C2AFD9DEA4990185?sequence=1).
16. International Center for Trade and Sustainable Development and World Health Organization (2011), Pharmaceutical Production and Related Technology Transfer. World Health Organization, Geneva (ISBN 978 92 4 150235 1).
17. Commission on Intellectual Property Rights, Innovation and Public Health (2006), Public Health, Innovation and Intellectual Property Rights. World Health Organization, Geneva (ISBN 92 4 156323 0). Available at:  
<http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s14146e/s14146e.pdf>.
18. United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, World Health Organization and United Nations Development Programme (2011), Using TRIPS flexibilities to Improve Access to HIV Treatment. UNAIDS, WHO and UNDP (Policy Brief). Available at:  
<http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s18392en/s18392en.pdf>.
19. Abbas M. Z. and Riaz S. (2013), Flexibilities under TRIPS: Implementation Gaps between Theory and Practice, *Nordic Journal of Commercial Law*, No. 1, pp. 1-25.
20. Abbott F. M. (2011), Comparative Study of Selected Government Policies for Promoting Transfer of Technology and Competitiveness in the Colombian Pharmaceutical Sector. United States Agency for International Development – Programa MIDAS, 2007. Available at:  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228247080\\_Comparative\\_Study\\_of\\_Selected\\_Government\\_Policies\\_for\\_Promoting\\_Transfer\\_of\\_Technology\\_and\\_Competitiveness\\_in\\_the\\_Colombian\\_Pharmaceutical\\_Sector](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228247080_Comparative_Study_of_Selected_Government_Policies_for_Promoting_Transfer_of_Technology_and_Competitiveness_in_the_Colombian_Pharmaceutical_Sector).
21. Adusei P. (2011), Exploiting Patent Regulatory “Flexibilities” to Promote Access to Antiretroviral Medicines in Sub-Saharan Africa, *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 1-20.
22. Amin Tahir and Aaron S. Kesselheim (2012), Secondary Patenting of Branded Pharmaceuticals: A Case Study of How Patents on Two HIV Drugs could Be Extended for Decades, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 31, No. 10, pp. 2286-2294.

23. Andrew Jenner, Niresh Bhagwandin, Stanley Kowalski (2017), Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) and Multidrug Resistance (MDR): Overview of Current Approaches, Consortia and Intellectual Property Issues, Global Challenges Report, WIPO. Available at: [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gc\\_15.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gc_15.pdf).
24. Athreye Suma, Dinar Kale, Shyama V. Ramani (2009), Experimentation with Strategy and the Evolution of Dynamic Capability in the Indian Pharmaceutical Sector, *Industrial and Corporate Change*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 729-759.
25. Baker B.K. (2018), A Sliver of Hope: Analyzing Voluntary Licenses to Accelerate Affordable Access to Medicines, *Northeastern University Law Review*. Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 91-780.
26. Bazargani Y. T., Ewen M., De Boer A., Leufkens H. G. M. and Mantel-Teeuwisse A. K. (2014), Essential Medicines are More Available than Other Medicines Around the Globe, *PLOS One*. Vol. 9, No. 2, e87576, pp. 1-7.
27. Beall R. and Kuhn R. (2012), Trends in Compulsory Licensing of Pharmaceuticals Since the Doha Declaration: A Database Analysis. *PLOS Medicine*. Vol. 9, No. 1, e1001154, pp. 1-9.
28. Beall RF & A Attaran (2016), Patent-based analysis of the World Health Organization's 2013 Model List of Essential Medicines. Global Challenges Report, WIPO. Available at: [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/mdocs/en/wipo\\_gc\\_ip\\_ge\\_16/wipo\\_gc\\_ip\\_ge\\_16-www\\_334437.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/mdocs/en/wipo_gc_ip_ge_16/wipo_gc_ip_ge_16-www_334437.pdf).
29. Berndt Ernst R. and Iain M. Cockburn (2014), The Hidden Cost Of Low Prices: Limited Access to New Drugs in India, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 33, No. 9, pp. 1567-1575.
30. Berndt Ernst R., Nathan Blalock, and Iain M. Cockburn (2011), Diffusion of New Drugs in the Post-TRIPS Era, *International Journal of the Economics of Business*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 203–224.
31. Bhaduri Saradindu and Thomas Brenner (2013), Examining the Determinants of Drug Launch Delay in pre-TRIPS India, *The European Journal of Health Economics*, Vol. 14, No. 5, pp. 761-773.
32. Branstetter Lee, Chirantan Chatterjee and Matthew J. Higgins (2016), Regulation and Welfare: Evidence from Paragraph IV Generic Entry in the Pharmaceutical Industry, *The RAND Journal of Economics*, Vol. 47, No. 4, pp. 857-890.
33. Cameron A., Ewen M., Ross-Degnan D., Ball D. and Laing R. (2008), Medicine Prices, Availability, and Affordability in 36 Developing and Middle-Income Countries: A Secondary Analysis, *The Lancet*. Vol. 373, No. 9659. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61762-6, pp. 240-249.
34. Chadha Alka (2009) Product Cycles, Innovation, and Exports: A Study of Indian Pharmaceuticals, *World Development*, Vol. 37, No. 9, pp. 1478-1483.
35. Chaudhuri Shubham, Pinelopi K. Goldberg and Panle Jia (2006), Estimating the Effects of Global Patent Protection in Pharmaceuticals: A Case Study of Quinolones in India, *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 96, No. 5, pp. 1477-1514.
36. Ching Andrew T. (2010), A dynamic Oligopoly Structural Model for the Prescription Drug Market after Patent Expiration, *International Economic Review*, Vol. 51, No. 4, pp. 1175-1207.

37. Cockburn Iain M., Jean O. Lanjouw and Mark Schankerman (2016), Patents and the Global Diffusion of New Drugs, *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 106, No. 1, pp. 136-164.
38. Cohen-Kohler J. C., Forman L. and Lipkus N. (2008), Addressing Legal and Political Barriers to Global Pharmaceutical Access: Options for Remedyng the Impact of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and the Imposition of TRIPS-plus Standards, *Health Economics Policy and Law*. Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 229-256.
39. Correa. C. M. (2009), Guide for the Application and Granting of Compulsory Licenses and Authorization of Government Use of Pharmaceutical Patents, World Health Organization, Geneva (WHO/PHI/2009.1). Available at:  
<http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s19902en/s19902en.pdf>.
40. Danzon Patricia, Andrew Mulcahy, and Adrian Towse (2015), Pharmaceutical Pricing in Emerging Markets: Effects of Income, Competition, and Procurement, *Health Economics*, Vol. 24, pp. 238-252.
41. Dhar Biswajit and K.M. Gopakumar (2006), Post-2005 TRIPS Scenario in Patent Protection in the Pharmaceutical Sector: The Case of the Generic Pharmaceutical Industry in India, UNCTAD/ICTSD.
42. Duggan Mark, Craig Garthwaite and Aparajita Goyal (2016), The Market Impacts of Pharmaceutical Product Patents in Developing Countries: Evidence from India, *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 106, No. 1, pp. 99-135.
43. Ellison Glenn and Sara Fisher Ellison (2011), Strategic Entry Deterrence and the Behavior of Pharmaceutical Incumbents Prior to Patent Expiration, *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 1-36.
44. Eric Bond and Kamal Saggi (2014), Compulsory Licensing, Price Controls, and Access to Patented Foreign Products, *Journal of Development Economics*, Volume 109, pp. 217-228.
45. European Commission (2009) Pharmaceutical Sector Inquiry – Final Report. Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/competition/sectors/pharmaceuticals/inquiry/>.
46. Flynn S., Hollis A. and Palmedo M. (2009), An Economic Justification for Open Access to Essential Medicines in Developing Countries, *Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics*. Vol. 37, No. 2, pp. 184-208.
47. Galasso Alberto and Mark Schankerman (2015), Patents and Cumulative Innovation: Causal Evidence from the Courts, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 130, No. 1, pp. 317-369.
48. Gamba Simona (2017), The Effect of Intellectual Property Rights on Domestic Innovation in the Pharmaceutical Sector, *World Development*, Vol. 99, pp. 15-27.
49. Gilchrist Duncan S. (2016), Patents as a Spur to Subsequent Innovation? Evidence from Pharmaceuticals, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 189-221.
50. Goldberg Pinelopi K. (2010), Intellectual Property Rights Protection in Developing Countries: The Case of Pharmaceuticals, *Journal of the European Economic Association*, Vol. 8, No. 2-3, pp. 326-353.

51. Grabowski Henry, Carlos Brain, Anna Taub, and Rahul Guha (2017), Pharmaceutical Patent Challenges: Company Strategies and Litigation Outcomes, *American Journal of Health Economics*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 33-59.
52. Guennif S. and Chaisse J. (2007), Present Stakes around Patent Political Economy: Legal and Economic Lessons from the Pharmaceutical Patent Rights in India, *Asian Journal of WTO and International Health Law and Policy*. Vol. 2, No. 1 pp. 65-98.
53. Hemphill C. Scott and Bhaven N. Sampat (2011), When Do Generics Challenge Drug Patents?, *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 613-649.
54. Hemphill C. Scott and Bhaven N. Sampat (2012), Evergreening, Patent Challenges, and Effective Market Life in Pharmaceuticals, *Journal of Health Economics*, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 327-339.
55. Ho C. W. L. and Leisinger K. M. (2013), Intellectual Property and Access to Essential Medicines: A Tenuous Link?, *Asian Bioethics Review*. Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 376-382.
56. Horner R. (2014), The Impact of Patents on Innovation, Technology Transfer and Health: A Pre- and Post-TRIPS Analysis of India's Pharmaceutical Industry, *New Political Economy*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 284-406.
57. Jack William and Jean O. Lanjouw (2005), Financing Pharmaceutical Innovation: How Much Should Poor Countries Contribute?, *The World Bank Economic Review*, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 45-67.
58. Janodia M. D., Sreedhar D., Ligade V. S. and Udupa N. (2008), Facets of Technology Transfer: A Perspective of Pharmaceutical Industry, *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, Vol. 13, pp. 28-34.
59. Kampf R. (2015), Special Compulsory Licenses for Export of Medicines: Key Features of WTO Members' Implementing Legislation. WTO, Geneva (ERSD-2015-07). Available at: [https://www.wto.org/english/res\\_e/reser\\_e/ersd201507\\_e.pdf](https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/reser_e/ersd201507_e.pdf).
60. Katz M. L., Mueller L. V., Polyakov M. and Weinstock S. F. (2006), Where Have All the Antibiotic Patents Gone?, *Nature Biotechnology*, Vol. 24, No. 12, pp. 1529-1531.
61. Krattiger A., Mahoney R.T., Chiluwal A. and Kowalski S.P. (2012), Patent Information, Freedom to Operate and "Global Access": A Case Study of Dengue Vaccines Under Development, Global Challenges Report, WIPO. Available at: [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gc\\_2.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gc_2.pdf).
62. Kuan E. S. (2009), Balancing Patents and Access to Medicines, *Singapore Academy of Law Journal*, Vol. 21, pp. 457-484.
63. Kyle Margaret K. and Anita M. McGahan (2012), Investments in Pharmaceuticals Before and After TRIPS, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 94, No. 4, pp. 1157-1172.
64. Lakdawalla Darius and Tomas Philipson (2012), Does Intellectual Property Restrict Output? An Analysis of Pharmaceutical Markets, *Journal of Law & Economics*, Vol. 55, No. 1, pp. 151-187.

65. Li Xuan (2008), The Impact of Higher Standards in Patent Protection for Pharmaceutical Industries under the TRIPS Agreement – A Comparative Study of China and India, *World Economy*, Vol. 31, No. 10, pp. 1367-1382.
66. Mackey T. K. and Liang B. A. (2012), Patent and Exclusivity Status of Essential Medicines for Non-Communicable Disease, *PLOS One*. Vol 7. No. 11, e51022, pp. 1-8.
67. Mazzoleni Roberto (2011) Before Bayh–Dole: Public Research Funding, Patents, and Pharmaceutical Innovation (1945–1965), *Industrial and Corporate Change*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 721–749.
68. McKeith S. (2014), Pharmaceutical Patents in Developing Nations: Parallel Importation and the Doctrine of Exhaustion, *African Journal of Legal Studies*. Vol. 6, No. 2-3, pp. 287-314.
69. Mecurio B. (2007), Resolving the Public Health Crisis in the Developing World: Problems and Barriers of Access to Essential Medicines, *Northwestern Journal of International Human Rights*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 1-40.
70. Moon S. (2008), Does TRIPS Art. 66.2 Encourage Technology Transfer to LDCs? An Analysis of the Country Submissions to TRIPS Council (1999-2007). UNCTAD – ICTSD Project on IPRs and Sustainable Development, Geneva. Available at: [https://unctad.org/en/Docs/ipsr\\_pb20092\\_en.pdf](https://unctad.org/en/Docs/ipsr_pb20092_en.pdf).
71. Nguyen A. T., Knight R., Mant A., Cao Q. M. and Auton M. (2009), Medicine Prices, Availability and Affordability in Vietnam, *Southern Med Review*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 2-9.
72. Padmanabhan S., Amin T., Sampat B., Cook-Deegan R. and Chandrasekharan S. (2010), Intellectual Property, Technology Transfer and Manufacture of Low-Cost HPV Vaccines in India, *Nature Biotechnology*. Vol. 28, No. 7, pp. 671-678.
73. Panattoni Laura E. (2011), The effect of Paragraph IV Decisions and Generic Entry Before Patent Expiration on Brand Pharmaceutical Firms, *Journal of Health Economics*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 126-145.
74. Perriëns J. and Habiyambere V. (2014), Access to Antiretroviral Drugs in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. World Health Organization, Geneva (ISBN 978 92 4 150754 7). Available at: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s21630en/s21630en.pdf>.
75. Puasiri W. (2013), Improving Patent Quality through Pre-grant Opposition in Thailand. *Journal of International Commercial Law and Technology*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 219-253.
76. Qian Yi (2007), Do National Patent Laws Stimulate Domestic Innovation in a Global Patenting Environment? A Cross-Country Analysis of Pharmaceutical Patent Protection, 1978-2002, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 89, No.3, pp.436-453.
77. Quinn R. (2013), Rethinking Antibiotic Research and Development: World War II and the Penicillin Collaborative, *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 103, No. 3, pp. 426-343.
78. Reed F Beall, Patents and the WHO Model List of Essential Medicines (18th Edition): Clarifying the Debate on IP and Access, Global Challenges Brief, WIPO. Available at: [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/en/wipo\\_gc\\_ip\\_ge\\_16/wipo\\_gc\\_ip\\_ge\\_16\\_brief.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/en/wipo_gc_ip_ge_16/wipo_gc_ip_ge_16_brief.pdf).

79. Regan Tracy L. (2008), Generic Entry, Price Competition, and Market Segmentation in the Prescription Drug Market, *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 930-948.
80. Reiffen David and Michael R. Ward (2006), Generic Drug Industry Dynamics, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 87, No. 1, pp. 37-49.
81. Ryan Michael P. (2010) Patent Incentives, Technology Markets, and Public-Private Bio-Medical Innovation Networks in Brazil, *World Development*, Vol. 38, No. 8, pp. 1082-1093.
82. Sampath Padmashree Gehl (2005), Economic Aspects of Access to Medicines after 2005: Product Patent Protection and Emerging Firm Strategies in the Indian Pharmaceutical Industry, United Nations University-Institute for New Technologies (UNU-INTECH): Commissioned by WHO and CIPIH.
83. Schweitzer Stuart O. and William S. Comanor (2011), Prices Of Pharmaceuticals In Poor Countries Are Much Lower Than In Wealthy Countries, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 30, No. 8, pp. 1553-1561.
84. Stavropoulou, C. and Valletti, T. (2015), Compulsory Licensing and Access to Drugs, *The European Journal of Health Economics*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 83-94.
85. Stevens H., Huys I., Debackere K., Goldman M., Stevens P. and Mahoney R. T. (2017), Vaccines: Accelerating Innovation and Access. Global Challenges Report, WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/publications/en/details.jsp?id=4224&plang=EN>.
86. T'Hoen E., Berger J., Calmy A. and Moon S. (2011), Driving a Decade of Change: HIV/AIDS, Patents and Access to Medicines for All, *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, Vol. 14, No. 15, pp. 1-12.
87. Vandoros Sotiris (2014), Therapeutic Substitution Post-Patent Expiry: The Cases of ACE Inhibitors and Proton Pump Inhibitors, *Health Economics*, Vol. 23, pp. 621-630.
88. Watal Jayashree and Rong Dai (2019), Product Patents and Access to Innovative Medicines in a Post-TRIPS-Era, WTO, Staff Working Paper ERSD-2019-05.
89. Williams Heidi (2013), Intellectual Property Rights and Innovation: Evidence from the Human Genome, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 121, No. 1, pp. 1-27.
90. Zainol Z. A., Amin L., Jusoff K., Zahid A. and Akpoviri F. (2011), Pharmaceutical Patents and Access to Essential Medicines in Sub-Saharan Africa, *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 10. No. 58 pp.12376-12388.
91. Zhang M., Zheng J., Kong X. and Wan J.(2016), Research and Development of Antibiotics: Insights From Patents and Citation Network, *Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents*, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp.617-627.

[Fin del Anexo y del documento]